

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/717,519	MATTHEWS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Fiona T. Powers	1626	

All Participants:

(1) Fiona T. Powers.

(2) Melissa Hayworth.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 February 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 NONE

Claims discussed:
 13, 22 and 23

Prior art documents discussed:
 NONE

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
 See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Ms. Hayworth to suggest that specific diseases which are treated that are enabled by the specification be inserted into claims 13, 22 and 23 to avoid a rejection of the claims under 35 USC 112, first paragraph. Ms Hayworth stated that claims 13, 22 and 23 should be canceled by examiner's amendment..